

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,157	06/30/2005	Son Nguyen-Kim	13111-00023-US	6306
	7590 09/20/200' BOVE LODGE & HUT		EXAM	IINER
1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, = -		1713	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/541,157	NGUYEN-KIM ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Helen L. Pezzuto	1713		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/30/ This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or elements for Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. election requirement.	Examiner.		
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-29, drawn to a cosmetic or pharmaceutical composition.

Group II, claim(s) 30-35, drawn to an ampholytic copolymer.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The claims of groups I and II shared an ampholytic copolymer (i.e. Group II) as a special technical feature, which is considered to lack novelty or an inventive step in view of FR 2 393 011 A set forth in the International search Report.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/541,157

Art Unit: 1713

(1) The anionic monomer (a), inclusive of the various species expressed in claims 8-10.

- (2) The cationic monomer (b), inclusive of the various monomers expressed in claim 12.
- (3) The unsaturated amide group-containing monomer (c), inclusive of the various monomers expressed in claim 14.
- (4) The additional monomer (d), inclusive of the various disclosed monomers.
- (5) The component (g), inclusive of the various polymer species expressed in claim 6.
- (6) In the event that Group I is elected, the cosmetically acceptable carrier (B) and the additional additives, inclusive of the various species expressed in claims 27-28.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single <u>ultimate</u> disclosed species for each of the above genera (1)-(6) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. In the event that specific species are not identified in the claims, applicant is requested to elect a specific <u>ultimate species</u> disclosed in the specification. An alternative method of election is to identify an Example, which collectively exemplifies all the elected species requested. In the instant case, applicant may choose to elect embodiments expressed in

Art Unit: 1713

each of claims 16-23. In the event that applicant elects any of the embodiments from claims 20-23, applicant is further requested to elect an ultimate species for the alkyl (meth)acrylate monomer set forth in the formula.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1 and 30.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,157

Art Unit: 1713

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen L.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,157

Art Unit: 1713

Page 6

Pezzuto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1108. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 4 PM, Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713